

î!

ITEM NO.10

REGISTRAR COURT.1

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A. SAYEED

SLP(C) NO.19540-19541/2011

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BASANT SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in refiling SLP,c/delay in filing SLP and prayer for interim relief and office report )

WITH

SLP(C) NO.8293/2012

SLP(C) NO.20964/2012

SLP(C) NO.37104-37106/2012

SLP(C) NO.34397-34399/2012

SLP(C) NO.10889-10890/2013

Date: 15/01/2014 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mrs Anil Katiyar, Adv.

Mr B.V.Balram Das, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Shree Prakash Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Adv.

Mr D.V.Gupta, Adv.

Mr Yash Pal Dhingra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C) NO.19540/2011

The ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 has failed to file the counter affidavit despite final chance granted on the last date. Further necessary orders would be passed as and when the other connected matters would become ready. The ld. Counsel appearing on their behalf has made a submission that he has no instructions from the respondents.

-2-

Item No.10

SLP(C) NO.19541/2011

Sole respondent is reported to be duly served through the concerned District Court but none has appeared on his behalf.

However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when other connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C) NO.8293/2012

Matter is complete in all respects.

However, further necessary orders would be passed as and when other connected matters would become ready.

SLP(C) NO.10889-10890/2013

In SLP(C) no. 10889/2013, respondent No.1 is reported to have expired. Necessary steps for substitution of his legal representatives as per rules be taken.

Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are reported to be duly served through the concerned ld. Trial Court but none appeared on their behalf.

Notices be re issued to respondent Nos. 8 to 13 on furnishing their fresh and correct address within three weeks through the concerned District Court in addition to postal service.

In SLP(C) no. 10890/2013, respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and 6 to 11 are reported to be duly served through the ld. Trial Court but none has appeared on their behalf.

Respondent No.5 is reported to have expired. Necessary steps for substitution of his legal representatives be taken as per rules. Four weeks time is granted.

-3-

Item No.10

SLP(C) NO.20964/2012

Out of 29 respondents, respondent Nos. 11,12 and 18 are reported to have expired. Necessary steps for substitution of their legal representatives be taken as per rules within four weeks.

Remaining respondents are reported to be duly served but none appeared on their behalf.

SLP(C) NO.34397-99/2012

Service report in respect of all the four respondents is awaited from the concerned District Court. Fresh reminder be issued on priority basis.

SLP(C) NO.37104-106/2012

In these batch of matters position of the service on the respondents has been shown in the tabular chart, perusal of which indicates that on some of the respondents service is incomplete and the report is still awaited from the concerned District Court. Registry to issue fresh reminder on priority basis.

Respondent No.2 who is common in all the matters is reported to be duly served but none appeared on his behalf.

List again on 14.2.2014.

| | (M.A. SAYEED) |  
| | REGISTRAR |

hj