

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).32935/2009

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GYAN PRAKASH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for ex-Parte stay and office report)

Date: 28/09/2010

This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Harbans Lal Bajaj, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The learned Advocate-on-Record, Mr. Harbans Lal Bajaj appearing for respondent No.1 has pointed out that office has issued notice on 14.9.2010 upon the unserved respondents wherein for all the respondents between respondent Nos.4 to 23 it is endorsed that notices are to be served through respondent No.1 - Gyan Prakash whereas the order dated 30.7.2010 is very much clear that dasti service is allowed upon the unserved respondents and permitting the petitioner to confirm such dasti notices through the nearest civil court or trial court.

Item No.84

-2-

It is pointed out by the learned counsel for respondent No.1 that all the unserved respondents are retired officers of the Government being present petitioner and therefore, he cannot serve notices upon such unserved respondents.

Registry has to rectify their mistake by issuing fresh notices for the unserved respondents, which is to be given to the learned counsel for the petitioner to confirm

service, as per order dated 30.7.2010.

Registry has to clarify in writing to the undersigned within a week about such mistake.

Served respondents may file counter affidavit.

Petitioner has to confirm the service upon the unserved respondents by dasti mode, without fail.

List again on 11.11.2010.

(S.G. SHAH)
REGISTRAR

rd