

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO.1204 OF 2008
IN
T.C.(C) 112/2006

U.P.BANK DEPOSIT COLLECTORS ASSOCIATIONS

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

INDIAN BANK ASSOCIATION & ORS.

Respondent(s)

[With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition and office report]

WITH

R.P.(C)NO.1213/2008 IN T.C.(C)NO.80/2006

[With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing review petition, directions to hear the review petition in open Court and office report];

R.P.(C)NO.1281/2008 IN T.C.(C)NO.82/2005 [With office report];

R.P.(C)NO.11/2009 IN T.C.(C)NO.81/2006

[With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing R.P., oral hearing, discharge of advocate on record and office report].

Date: 17/02/2009 These Petitions were circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

BY CIRCULATION

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
ORDER

R.P.(C)No.1204/08 in T.C.(C)No.112/06;
R.P.(C)No.1213/08 in T.C.(C)No.80/06; and
R.P.(C)No.11/09 in T.C.(C)No.81/06.

The review petitions are dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

R.P.(C)No.1281/08 in T.C.(C)No.82/05.

The review petition is dismissed.

(Subhash Chander)

(Pushap Lata Bhardwaj)

A.R.-cum-P.S.

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION(C)NO.1204 OF 2008

IN

T.C.(C)NO.112 OF 2006

U.P. Bank Deposit Collectors AssociationsPetitioners

Versus

Indian Bank Association

.....Respondent

WITH

R.P.(C)No.1213/2008 in T.C.(C)No.80/2006;

R.P.(C)No.1281/2008 in T.C.(C)No.82/2005; AND

R.P.(C)No.11/2009 in T.C.(C)No.81/2006.

ORDER

The present review petitions have been filed seeking review of order dated 28th February 2008 disposing of, amongst others, the transferred cases of the petitioners and dismissing the writ petitions.

There is a delay of 115 days each in filing R.P.(C)Nos.1204/2008 and 1213/2008 and 143 days in filing R.P.(C)No.11 of 2009. We are not satisfied with the explanation offered for the same. These review petitions are liable to be dismissed on this ground alone. However, we have considered these review petitions on merits also and do not find any ground to review the aforementioned order. These review petitions are dismissed on the ground of delay as also on merits.

As we do not find any ground to review the aforementioned judgment, R.P.(C)No.1281 of 2008 is also dismissed.

Sd/-
.....J.
[S.B. SINHA]

Sd/-
.....J.
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

New Delhi.
February 17, 2009.