

Đ
C.A.No. 688 OF 2001
ITEM No.206

Court No. 7

SECTION XVII
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No.688/2001

INDRA AMLA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

S.M.BABU

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for condonation of delay in filing appeal.)
(For Final Disposal)

Date : 17/08/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU

For Appellant (s) Mr. Girish Ananthamurthy, Adv.
Mr. P.P. Singh,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Rajesh Mahale, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

Delay condoned.
Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

(Meenu Sethi)
Court Master

(Om Prakash)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

.PA

.....L.....I.....J
.....L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.688 OF 2001@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Indra Amla

.. Appellant

Vs.

S.M. Babu

.. Respondent

O_R_D_E_R@@
AAAAAAAAA

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

Delay condoned.

Considering the nature of the matter, we do not think that we should entertain this appeal. It would be appropriate for the appellant to pursue the remedy before the State Commission as indicated in the course of the order dated July 17, 2000 in Original Petition No. 311 of 1993 of the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission. We decline to interfere with the order made by the Commission. The appeal is dismissed accordingly.

.SP1

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[S. RAJENDRA BABU]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[DORAISWAMY RAJU]@@
A

New Delhi,@@
AAAAAAAAA
August 17, 2001.