

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.20225/1998

(From the judgement and order dated 06/10/1998 in CMWP 25966/96
of The HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD)

HAR NARAIN BAJPAI

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

U.P.SEC.EDU.SERVICES COMMISSION & ORS

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for filing addl. ground of appeal & with prayer for I/R)
(For Final Disposal)
With

SLP(C)No.17712/1998

Date : 07/12/2000 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SYED SHAH MOHAMMED QUADRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. PHUKAN

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Yatish Mohan, Adv. for
Mr. Vishwajit Singh,Adv.

For Petitioner (s) Mr. SK. Misra, Adv.

For Respondent (s) M/s TN. Singh,BM. Sharma,CM. Patel,Adv.s.

Mr. Ujjal Banerjee, Adv. for
Mr. H.K. Puri,Adv.

Mr. R.B. Misra,Adv.

Mr. YP. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ajay K. Agarwal, Adv.
Ms. Chitra Markandaya,Adv.(NP)

M/s Ranbir Singh Yadav, Atul Kumar, Adv.s.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Leave is granted.
The appeals are disposed of. No costs.

.SP1

(S.Thapar) (Kanwal Singh) @@
AA
PS to Registrar Court Master@@
AA

The signed order is placed on the file.

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.....OF 2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
(Arising out of SLP(C)No.20225/1998)

Har Narain Bajpai

Appellant (s)

Versus

U.P. Sec.Education Services Commission & Ors.

Respondent (s)

(With C.A.No...../2000 @ SLP(C)No.17712/98)

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Leave is granted.

The point raised in these appeals stands covered by a
judgment of this Court in Kiran Gupta & Ors. Vs. State of@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC CCCCCCCCC

U.P. & Ors. (2000 (7) SCC 719). These two appeals were@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

heard along with a batch of appeals in which the said judgment
was delivered on 28.09.2000. However, the learned counsel for
the appellant submitted that in these two cases the original
records were not produced by the Commission. For that reason
these matters were delinked.

These cases are heard today. The learned counsel for
the respondent has produced the original record of the
appellants. We have seen the same. We do not find anything
illegal to take a different view of the matter. These appeals
are also disposed of in terms of the judgment of this Court
referred to above. No costs.

.SP1

.....J
(Syed Shah Mohammed Quadri)

New Delhi,
December 07, 2000

.....J
(S.N. Phukan)