

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No. 20465/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-02-2026 in CO No. 279/2026 passed by the High Court at Calcutta]

SUNIL ANCHALIA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NARENDRA KUMAR ANCHALIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No. 115689/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE PETITION (SLP/TP/WP/..))

Date : 24-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Kausik Chatterjee, Adv.
Mr. Soumya Dutta, AOR
Ms. Samriddhi, Adv.
Mr. Siddhant Upmanyu, Adv.
Ms. Nisstha Balodia, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sankya Sen, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Arindam Chandra, Adv.
Mr. Atish Ghosh, Adv.
Mr. Arun K. Sinha, AOR
Mr. Rakesh Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sumit Sinha, Adv.
Mrs. Anjali Rajput, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel, the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Permission is granted to file the special leave petition.
2. We find no reason to entertain this special leave petition for adjudication on merits in the light of the earlier order dated 30.08.2024 passed by this Court in Miscellaneous Application No. 1429/2024 in Civil Appeal No. 2672/2024.

3. The applicants in the aforesaid miscellaneous application are the petitioners presently, who are aggrieved by the order dated 02.02.2026 passed by the High Court at Calcutta in C.O. No. 279/2026. By the said order, the High Court directed the Court of the learned District Judge, Birbhum at Suri, to dispose of the application filed by respondent Nos. 1 to 4 herein, within a period of two months, after giving adequate opportunity of hearing to the parties to contest the same. Admittedly, the petitioners were not parties to the proceedings before the High Court, wherein the aforesaid order was passed.
4. However, when the petitioners approached this Court by way of Miscellaneous Application No. 1429/2024, this Court made it clear, by the order dated 30.08.2024, that in the event they had any grievance, they may seek appropriate relief before the Reference Court. This observation was made in the context of the earlier order dated 19.02.2024 passed by this Court in Civil Appeal No. 2672/2024, wherein directions were issued for disbursement of the compensation amount. It is the case of the petitioners that they would also be entitled to a share in the compensation amount, as the property in question allegedly belonged to a Hindu Undivided Family and not to respondent Nos. 1 to 4 independently.
5. As this Court has already granted liberty to the petitioners to raise their grievances, if any, before the Reference Court and the Reference Court has now been directed by the High

Court, *vide* the order dated 02.02.2026, to consider the application filed by respondent Nos. 1 to 4 for disbursal of 50% of the compensation amount, it is for the petitioners to approach the Reference Court of the learned District Judge, Birbhum at Suri, by placing reliance on paragraph 7 of the earlier order dated 30.08.2024 passed by this Court and ventilate their grievance.

6. We are informed that the petitioners have already filed an intervention application in the proceedings, in which respondent Nos. 1 to 4 have moved the subject application for disbursal of the compensation amount.
7. If that be so, learned District Judge, Birbhum at Suri, shall first consider the application for intervention filed by the petitioners, in terms of the liberty granted by this Court in paragraph 7 of the order dated 30.08.2024 and, thereafter, proceed to hear the application filed by respondent Nos. 1 to 4, by giving due opportunity of hearing to all the parties concerned, depending upon the decision in the petitioners' intervention application.
8. The special leave petition is, accordingly, disposed of.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(BABITA PANDEY)
AR-CUM-PS

(PREETI SAXENA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)