

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition (Crl.) No. 237/1998

SUBE SINGH

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(OFFICE REPORT FOR DIRECTION)

(For Final Disposal)

(FOR DIRECTIONS)

Date : 11/11/2002 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.B. SHAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.M. DHARMADHIKARI

For Petitioner (s) Mr. S. Muralidhar, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. R.K. Jain, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Neeraj Kumar Jain, Adv.
for Mr. J.P. Dhanda, Adv.

For CBI Mr. K.K. Sood, ASG
Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....R
.SP2

The learned senior counsel for the respondent-State submits that on the basis of the CBI report, FIR is registerd and appropriate charge-sheet would be filed in due course. He further submits that all the concerned police officers having attached to the police stations are
.....2/-

-2-

suspended from service and the said persons would be posted outside the district because of the apprehension expressed by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

However, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in such type of cases, as held by this Court in various decisions, the affected person is entitled to get compensation and it is obligatory on the Court to award compensation. As against this, learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that at present the FIR is registered and everything would depend upon the result of the prosecution. Therefore, there is no question of awarding any compensation at this stage.

For dealing with this contention, the matter may be placed after six months

.SP1

(A.S. Bisht)
Court Master

(Janki Bhatia)
Court Master