

ITEM NO.101 Court 6 (Video Conferencing) SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 784/2010

VIKRAM SINGH & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH S.H.O.

Respondent(s)

([PART-HEARD BY HON'BLE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL AND HON'BLE M.M.
SUNDRESH, JJ.])

Date : 09-02-2022 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

For Appellant(s) Mrs. Rachana Joshi Issar, AOR

For Respondent(s) Ms. Ankita Choudhary, Dy. Adv.G.
Mr. Gopal Jha, AOR
Mr. Nishant Verma, Adv.
Mr. Shreyash Bhardwaj, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the respondent-State today points out that the appellants have already gone through an actual sentence of 14 years and 2 months and with remission 21 years and 4 months. We really fail to understand why the State of Madhya Pradesh ought not to have considered this case in accordance with norms for remission.

We also posed a question to learned counsel for the appellants as to whether she has been able to get in touch with the appellants about their

interest in prosecuting the appeal if their sentence is remitted as the matter is being prosecuted through the SCLSC. The answer is in the negative.

We are thus of the view that learned counsel for the appellants may obtain instructions in this behalf and the case for remission of the appellants be forthwith considered within a month by the competent authority.

The appellants are already on bail.

List for appropriate orders/directions in the 'miscellaneous category' on 22.03.2022.

[CHARANJEET KAUR]
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

[POONAM VAID]
COURT MASTER (NSH)