

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MRS. RACHNA GUPTA

Civil Appeal No(s). 926/2010

M/S. SRI MALIKARJUNA &amp; CO. &amp; ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 927-929/2010

(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 930-932/2010

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

Date : 22/04/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. P. R. Ramasesh, Adv.  
Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.  
Ms. Jennifer John, Adv.  
Mr. E. C. Vidya Sagar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.  
Mr. S. N. Bhat, Adv.  
Ms. Anjana Chandrashekar, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E RC.A. No.926/2010

Service of all the nine respondents is complete.

Statement of case has been filed by respondent Nos.3 to 5,  
8 and 9. Appellant and the other remaining respondents i.e.,  
respondent Nos.1, 2, 6 and 7 have not filed the same.C.A. No. 927-929/2010

Among 11 respondents, appellant and respondent Nos.3 to 6,

8 and 9 have filed the statement of case. Remaining respondents have not filed the same.

C.A. No. 930-932/2010

Among ten respondents, respondent Nos.3 and 8 have filed the statement of case but the appellant and remaining respondents have not filed the same.

Time stipulated for the purpose has expired. Otherwise also after the amendment in Supreme Court Rules, 2013, it is no more a mandate. Chronology of events already on record is presumed to have been accepted by all these respondents.

The appeals stand complete, in the given circumstances.

Registry to process to list the same before the Hon'ble Court, as per rules.

(RACHNA GUPTA)  
Registrar