

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 4219/2006

MUMBAI PORT TRUST

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

Date : 03/11/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Parag P. Tripathi, Sr.Adv.
Mr. T. V. Ratnam, Adv.
Mr. D.V. Raghuvanshy, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

For S.B.I.

Mr. Sanjay Kapur, Adv.
Ms. Priyanka Das, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E RThe civil appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed
order.Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State
Bank of India very fairly submitted that the Bank will not
initiate any action for a period of four weeks in respect of
the money lying with the appellant - Mumbai Port Trust.[TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY]
COURT MASTER[SUKHBIR PAUL KAUR]
A.R.-CUM-P.S.

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4219 OF 2006

MUMBAI PORT TRUST

Appellant(s)

Versus

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. We have heard learned counsel for the parties at length.

2. This appeal by special leave is directed against the judgment and final order dated 16th July, 2004 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Notice of Motion No. 4 of 2004 in Writ Petition No. 2260 of 1998.

3. In our considered view, the reason assigned by the High Court in passing the impugned order needs no interference, as the same is in consonance with law.

4. There is no merit in this appeal and it is dismissed accordingly.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-State Bank of India very fairly submitted that the Bank will not initiate any action for a

period of four weeks in respect of the money lying
with the appellant - Mumbai Port Trust.

.....J.
(M.Y. EQBAL)

.....J.
(C. NAGAPPAN)

New Delhi,
November 03, 2015