

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G

Criminal Appeal No. 776/1999

Chhotkanna & Ors. Appellants

VERSUS

State of U.P. Respondent

WITH

Criminal Appeal No. 777/1999 (With appln. for bail)

Date : 23/01/2001 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.T. THOMAS
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. SETHI

For Appellant Mr. B.S. Jain,Adv.
in 776 Mr. Ajay Vir Singh,adv.
for Mr. Goodwill Indeevar,Adv.

in 777 Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed,Adv.

For Respondent Mr. Pramod Swarup,adv.
Mr. Praveen Swarup,Adv.
Mr. Prashant Chaudhary,adv.

UPON being mentioned the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T....J.
.SP2

Appeals are dismissed.

.SP1 (Suman Wadhwa) (H.K.Bhatia)
PA to Addl.Regr. Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

.PA

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 776 OF 1999@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

WITH

CRIMINA APPEAL NO. 777 OF 1999@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Chhotkanna & Ors. ... Appellants

vs.

State of U.P. ... Respondent

ORDER@@
CCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T...J.
.SP2

We heard Mr. B.S.Jain, learned counsel for the appellants and Mr. Pramod Swarup, learned counsel for the respondent. We do not find any error or illegality committed by the Sessions Court or the High Court in convicting the appellants for the offences. We have gone through the testimony of the two eye witnesses who were inmates of the house in front of which the incident happened. No serious flaw could be highlighted by the learned counsel regarding the credibility of their testimony.

Appeals are accordingly dismissed.

.SP1

.....J.
(K.T.Thomas)

.....J.
(R.P.Sethi)

New Delhi;
January 23, 2001.