

ITEM NO.10

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION IV-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SH. MASHROOR ALAM KHAN

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 23943/2011

CHHAVI AND ORS & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF PATIALA THROUGH ITS BRANCH MANAGER &  
ORS. Respondent(s)

[ONLY SLP(C) No. 28036/2011 IS LISTED UNDER THIS ITEM]

WITH  
SLP(C) No. 28036/2011 (IV-B)  
FOR

Date : 10-03-2026 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. P. N. Puri, AOR  
Mr. Dhiraj, Adv.  
Mrs. Reeta Dewan Puri, Adv.  
Ms. Smriti Puri, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, AOR  
Mr. Sarthak Shrivastava, Adv.  
Mr. Mayur Goyal, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, AOR  
Ms. Rashika Swarup, Adv.

Mr. R.s.m. Kalky, Adv.  
Mr. S.p. Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Ravi Shankar Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Chauhan, Adv.  
Mr. Ajit Kumar Ekka, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP(C) No. 28036/2011

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 4 has already been filed.

Respondent nos. 18 and 43 have been deleted vide Hon'ble Judge-in-Chamber's order dated 16.08.2016.

Counter affidavit on behalf of respondent nos. 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 26, 31, 32, 35, 53, 55, 56 and 57 has not been filed despite the grant of last opportunity. Learned counsel for the said respondents has requested two more weeks to file the same. Counter affidavit be filed by 24.03.2026 as last and final opportunity.

If filed, it be taken on record.

If not, further opportunity is declined.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has failed to file fresh particulars in respect of respondent nos. 5, 25, 30, 33, 44, 46 to 52, 54, 58, 61, 68 to 72 and 75 to 79 and also failed to file Affidavit alongwith proof of Dasti service in respect of respondent nos. 12(i), 12(ii), 29(i) to 29(vii), 34(i), 39(ii)(a) to 39(ii)(b), 40(i) to 40(iv) and 45(iii) to 45(iv) despite the grant of last opportunity on 25.09.2025.

It has been submitted by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the petitioner is above 80 years of age and that is why, the measures in respect of unserved respondent, as mentioned above, could not be done. He further seeks time to do the needful. Let the same be done by 24.03.2026.

Service is complete on remaining respondents but none has entered appearance.

If the steps as above are taken, list the matter before this Court on 08.04.2026.

If not, then list the matter before the Hon'ble Judge-in-Chambers.

Copy of this Record of Proceedings be furnished to the concerned Learned Advocate-on-Record for information and compliance.

MASHROOR ALAM KHAN  
Registrar

AP