

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).5494/2011

(From the judgment and order dated 18/02/2011 in CRMC No.4731/2010 of The
HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD, BENCH AT LUCKNOW)

SANJAY KHATTRI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With application for permission to place additional documents on record
and office report)

Date: 22/04/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K SIKRI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anupam Lal Das, Adv.
Mr. Atrabhavan Singh, Adv.
Mr. Dipesh Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Anirudh Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shakil Ahmed Syed, Adv.
Mr. Mohd. Parvez Dabas, Adv.
Mr. Milan Laskar, Adv.

Mr. Syed Imtiaz Ali, Adv.
Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.

Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

| Heard learned counsel for the parties.
| ...2/-

| The only grievance of the petitioner in this special Leave Petition is that he |
| was wrongly arrayed as an accused in the charge sheet and the decision of the |
| State Government to withdraw the prosecution against the petitioner does not |
| wipe away the stigma which came upon him for being arrayed as an accused in the |
| charge sheet. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for some time to cite |
| proposition of law to show that there is difference between withdrawal of |
| prosecution against the accused and dropping the name of the person as an |
| accused from the charge sheet.

| The matter be listed in the second week of July, 2013.

| [KALYANI GUPTA]
| COURT MASTER

| | [SHARDA KAPOOR]
| | COURT MASTER