

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).18673/2012

RAM JI CHAUHAN & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HIGH COURT OF JUDC.AT ALLAHABAD & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 18690-18691 of 2012

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 27/08/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Chandan Ramamurthi, Adv.

Mr S.K.Singh, Adv.

Mr. Deepak Goel, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr C.D.Rai, Adv.

Mr. K.N. Rai, Adv.

Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Petitioner No.3 in SLP(C) No. 18673/2012, Mr Baban Kumar Sharma who is appearing as party in person states that all the respondents are served. It is his statement that the matter was listed before Court No.5 on three days back when all the respondents were present and it is his further statement that they must have filed vakalatnama.

-2-

Item No.66

Record does not show proof of service on respondent Nos. 1 and 5 in SLP(C) No. 18690-691/2012. Therefore, petitioner in SLP(C) No. 18690-691/2012 has to confirm service upon respondent Nos. 1 and 5. Let there be fresh notice with additional dasti service on such respondents. For the purpose if such respondents are present before the Court in any of the connected SLPs, petitioner is permitted to serve dasti notices through such Advocate who has filed appearance for such respondents.

Process fee and spare copies are to be filed before 7.9.2012, else list before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution.

Served respondents in all connected SLPs may file counter affidavit, if they so desire.

It is unfortunate that even after repeated direction registry is changing the number of respondents while issuance of notices. Registry has to be careful. There must be proper notices upon each respondent in each

SLP. Therefore, in the present case, petitioners have to serve all the three respondents in both SLPs without fail. In view of such clarification, practically there are six respondents and not five.

Now after passing such order, the ld. Advocate, Mr Ashok K.Srivastava is present before the Court. He accepts notice for the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad and Registrar General of Allahabad High Court

-3-

Item No.66

being respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in SLP(C) No. 18690/2012 and again for Registrar General of High Court being respondent No.1 in SLP(C) No.18691/2012. Petitioner has to provide him additional copies of pleadings against proper acknowledgment which is to be filed on record to avoid issuance of further notices.

List again on 1.10.2012.

		(S.G.SHAH)	
		REGISTRAR	

hj