

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1632-1633/2013

DIRECTOR OF REVENUE INTELLIGENCE

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RAJ KUMAR MEHTA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the appellant(s) and the learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent(s) submits that respondent nos. 1 and 2 have expired.

3. Taking note of the above submission, and the fact that the appeals are being litigated since the Year 2013, nothing survives in these appeals due to the efflux of time, and the same are dismissed as having become infructuous.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(M.M. SUNDRESH)

.....J.  
(NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH)

New Delhi  
24.07.2025

ITEM NO.112

COURT NO.6

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1632-1633/2013

DIRECTOR OF REVENUE INTELLIGENCE

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RAJ KUMAR MEHTA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 17253/2012 - STAY APPLICATION

Date : 24-07-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Appellant(s) :

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Manjula Gupta, AOR

Mr. Sudhir Kumar Santoshi, Adv.

Ms. Manjula Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeals are dismissed as having become infructuous.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NISHA KHULBEY)  
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT  
(signed order is placed on the file)

(POONAM VAID)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR