

**SECTION XVII**

MATTER FOR: 30.3.2016

R/Court No. 2

ITEM NO. 44

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**REVIEW PETITION NO. 3098 OF 2015**

**IN**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6312 OF 2014**

**AND**

**REVIEW PETITION NO. 1855 OF 2014**

**IN**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6312 OF 2014**

State of Jharkhand & Anr. .... Appellants

VERSUS

Budheo Oraon & Ors .... Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

**"R.P. (C) No. 3098/2015 in C.A. No. 6312/2014**

The matters above-mentioned were listed before the Ld. Registrar Court on 8<sup>th</sup> February, 2016, when the following order was passed:-

"Respondent Nos. 10 to 24 have filed the counter affidavit but have failed to file the vakaltnama. Four weeks time, as last chance is granted to file the same.

Four weeks time is given to the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to file the counter affidavit.

M.R. B.V. Balaram Das, Ld. Counsel has filed the vakalatnama for CBI but there is no such party in this matter. Ld. Counsel shall within a period of four weeks clarify the same.

Service of notice is complete on the other respondents but no one has entered appearance on their behalf.

**R.P. NO. 1855 OF 2014 IN CA NO. 6312 OF 2014**

The matters above-mentioned were listed before the Ld. Registrar Court on 30<sup>th</sup> November, 2015, when the following order was passed:-

"Mr. Shantanu Kumar, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 12 to 26. He seeks and is given two weeks time to file the vakalatnama and four weeks time, thereafter, to file the counter affidavit.

Mr. Mohd., Advocate, appeared on behalf of the Respondent No. 1. He seeks and is given two weeks time to file the vakalatnama and four weeks time, thereafter, to file the counter affidavit.

Await the return of the service of notice already issued to the other respondents.

List the matter again on 08.02.2016. "

**R.P. NO. 3098 OF 2015 IN CA NO. 6312 OF 2014**

It is submitted that Mr. Shantanu Kumar, Advocate has not filed vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent Nos. 10 to 24 so far.

It is further submitted that M/s. Himanshu shekhar, Advocate has not filed counter affidavit on behalf of Respondent Nos. 2 and 3(JPSC) so far.

It is further submitted that Mr. B.V. Balramdas, Advocate has not clarify as to why the stamp of CBI Jharkhand Ranchi has been affixed on the vakalatnama but CBI, Headquarter, New Delhi is a party in the matter instead of CBI, Jharkhand, Ranchi.

It is further submitted that no one has entered appearance on behalf of served respondent so far. Service of notice in the matter is complete.

**R.P. NO. 1855 OF 2014 IN C.A.NO. 6312 OF 2014**

It is submitted that Mr. Shantanu Kumar, Advocate has filed vakalatnama and counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No. 12 but he has not served the copies of the counter affidavit on M/s. D.S. Mehra and Nirmesh Dubey, Advocates despite Registry letter dated 23.2.2016 so far.

It is further submitted that M/s. Tapesh Kumar Singh and D.S. Mahra, Advocates have on not filed counter affidavit on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 (State of Jharkhand) and 8 (CAG) respectively so far.

It is further submitted that rest of the common respondent have been served through dasti service in connected matter but no one has entered appearance so far. Hence, Service of notice in the matter has complete.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar Court with this office report.

DATED THIS THE 5TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2016

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to : Mr. Tapesh K. Singh, Advocate  
Mr. Nirmesh Dubey, Advocate  
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Advocate  
Mr. Shantanu Kumar, Advocate  
MR. B.V. Balram Das, Advocate

ASISTANT REGISTRAR