

**SECTION XVII**

MATTER FOR: 27.01.2017

Court No. 2

ITEM NO. 50

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
**REVIEW PETITION NO. 3098 OF 2015**

**WITH**

**INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 1, 2, 3 AND 4 OF 2015**

(Applications for condonation of delay in filing Review Petition, permission to file additional documents, exemption from filing certified copy of impugned order and exemption from filing official translation of annexures)

**IN**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6312 OF 2014**

**AND**

**REVIEW PETITION NO. 1855 OF 2014**

**IN**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6312 OF 2014**

**AND**

**INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.5 OF 2016**

(Application for clarification of Court's Order dated 11.07.2014 in CA No.6311 of 2014 )

**IN**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6311 OF 2014**

State of Jharkhand & Anr.

.... Appellants

VERSUS

Budh Deo Oraon & Ors

.... Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

The Review Petitions above-mentioned (R.P. No. 3098/15 filed by Mr. Tapeshe Kumar Singh, Advocate and R.P. No. 1855/2014 filed by Mr. Nirmesh Dubey, Advocate) were mentioned before the Court of Hon'ble Court on 28<sup>th</sup> September, 2015, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"R.P. (C) No. 1855 of 2014 and C.A. No. 6312 of 2014 is taken on Board.**

**Issue notice in both the Review Petitions returnable within eight weeks."**

**Review Petition NO. 3098 OF 2015 IN CA NO. 6312 OF 2014**

It is submitted that there are 24 respondents in the Review Petition. Service of notice on all the respondents is complete. M/s. Himanshu Shekhar and Shantanu Kumar, Advocates have already filed counter affidavit on behalf of Respondent Nos. 2-3 & 12 to 15, 17 to 19 and 21 to 24. (Copy of counter affidavit is placed with Review Petition paper books).

Mr. Nirmesh Dubey, Advocate has not filed counter affidavit on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1. No one has entered appearance on behalf of served respondent Nos. 4 to 7, 10 to 11, 16 and 20 so far. Mr. M. K. Mororia, Advocate has on 26.08.2016 filed vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No. 8 & 9 and he has also filed counter affidavit and the same placed with the paper books.

Service of notice is complete.

**Review Petition NO. 1855 OF 2014 IN C.A.NO. 6312 OF 2014**

It is submitted that there are 26 respondents in the Review Petition. Service of notice on all the respondents in the matter is complete. Mr. Shantanu Kumar, Advocate has filed vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent Nos. 12, 14 to 17, 19 to 21, and 23-26 and he has already filed counter affidavit on behalf of respondent No. 12. Mr. Tapesk Kumar Singh, Advocate has on 30.3.2015 filed counter affidavit on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 (State of Jharkhand). Mr. D.S. Mahra, Advocate for Respondent NO. 8 has not filed counter affidavit so far. Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Advocate has filed counter affidavit on behalf of Respondent Nos. 3 and 4. (Copy of counter affidavit placed with main Review paper books). No one has entered appearance on behalf of served respondent Nos. 5 to 7, 9 to 11, 13, 18 and 22 so far.

The application for clarification (I.A. No. 5/2016) in Civil Appeal No. 6311 of 2014 filed by Mr. B.V. Balramdas, Advocate on behalf of CBI was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2016, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"Let this interlocutory application be listed along with Review Petition (Civil) Nos. 3098 of 2015 and 1855 of 2014 in Civil Appeal No. 6312 of 2014 in the last week of August, 2016, before the Bench that had passed the original order."**

The Review Petitions above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

DATED THIS THE 24TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2017.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to : Mr. Tapesk K. Singh, Advocate  
Mr. Nirmesh Dubey, Advocate  
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Advocate

Mr. Shantanu Kumar, Advocate  
MR. M.K. Maroria, Advocate  
Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

*nirbhay*