

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1293 OF 2003

RAVINDER KAUR

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 30/11/2005 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. R. Venkataramani, Sr. Adv.

Mr. T.S. Ahuja, Adv.

Mr. Satya Mitra Garg, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, Adv.

Ms. Manju Aggarwal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. J.L. Gupta, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.

Mr. Sudhir Walia, Adv.

Mr. Arun K. Sinha, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The appeal is disposed of.

[Alka Dudeja]

Court Master

[Om Prakash]

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.1293 OF 2003

Ravinder Kaur

...Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

By the impugned order, the High Court, while refusing the prayer made on

behalf of the appellant directing the Police to register a First Information Report,

observed that the appellant, if so advised, may file a criminal complaint

before a

competent court. In our view, if a criminal complaint is filed before the competent

court by the appellant for prosecution of the accused persons, the same shall be

considered on its own merit without being prejudiced by any observation made either

in the impugned order or in the report submitted by the District Judge, Gurdaspur.

With the aforesaid observation, the appeal is disposed of.

.....J.

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.

[A.K. MATHUR]

New Delhi,

November 30, 2005.