

SECTION-IV

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 9199 OF 2013

Sheo Parshad @ Sheo Narain

...Appellant

VERSUS

State of Haryana & Ors.

...Respondents

REVISED OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING

The Office Report is prepared as per Circular No.F.41/Judl./2008 dated 17th December, 2008:-

- (a) There are twelve respondents. Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and 4 to 12 are represented through M/s. Kamal Mohan Gupta and Rohit Kumar Singh, Advocates. Respondent No. 3 has been served with notice but no one has entered appearance.
- (b) Original record in the appeal has been returned to concerned High Court vide office order dated 03.12.2014.
- (c) Not applicable.
- (d) Not applicable.
- (e) No application for substitution is pending.
- (f) Counsel for Appellants as well as Respondents have not filed Statement of Case despite this Registry's letter dated 16.06.2015. In terms of Rule 32, Sub Rule-2 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the appellants have adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and do not desire to file any further statement of case. If the Respondents have not filed statement of case within the stipulated time as provided in Sub Rule-1 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the respondents do not desire to lodge statement of case in the appeal.
- (g) Not applicable.

Dated this the 28th day of September, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

1. Mr. Dinesh Chander Pandey, Advocate.
B-30, Lovely Apartments, Mayur Vihar Phase-I, Delhi-91
2. Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh, Advocate.
315, New Lawyers' Chamber, New Delhi
3. Mr. Rajiv Kumar Singh, Advocate.
24-H, Pocket 4, Mayur Vihar Phase-1, Delhi-91.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR