

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).18890/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 14/03/2012 in CWP No.4605/2012 of The
HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

RAJ KUMAR

VERSUS

Petitioner(s)

SPORTS AUTHORITY OF INDIA AND ORS

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay, permission to place addl. documents on
record, permission to file rejoinder affidavit and office report))

Date: 18/10/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI

For Petitioner(s)

Petitioner-In-Person

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Anil Grover, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Khurana, adv.

Ms. Shubhangi Tuli, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard the petitioner in person. We are not inclined
to interfere with the order passed by the High Court. The special
leave petition is, therefore, dismissed.

We make it clear that it will be open to the petitioner to
raise all the issues, which were raised before the Central
Administrative Tribunal, the High Court as well as in these
proceedings, in the appeal.

...2/-

However, since the limitation for filing an appeal against
the orders of the disciplinary authority may have expired as the

petitioner was availing the remedy before the Central Administrative Tribunal and subsequently, the High Court, we deem it appropriate that appeal if filed by the petitioner within a period of thirty (30) days, shall not be dismissed on the ground of limitation. It shall be decided on merits after hearing the parties.

If the appeal is filed within thirty days, the Appellate Authority shall take a decision thereon within a period of two months.

(Sukhbir Paul Kaur)
Court Master

(Indu Bala Kapur)
Court Master