

\236

SLP(C)No. 22041 OF 2001

ITEM No.3

Court No. 1

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. No. 5 in
Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.22041/2001
(From the judgement and order dated 20/09/2001 in RP 2239/99
of The NATIONAL CONSUMERS DISPUTES REDDRESSAL COMMISSION, NEW DELHI)

GHAZIABAD DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

VISHNU DATT DIMRI

Respondent (s)

(for early hearing and Office Report)

Date : 13/01/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.P. MATHUR

For Petitioner (s)Ms. Reena Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sudhir Kulshreshtha,Adv.(NP)

For Respondent (s)Mr. P N Mishra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Kedar Nath Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Vipin Nair, Adv.
Mr. P B Suresh, Adv.
for M/s. Temple Law Firm, Adv.

For Applicant(s)Mr.Rishi Maheshwari, Adv.
in intervention appln.Ms. Shally Bhasin Maheshwari, Adv.
Mr. R K Maheshwari, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Let the application be listed for directions after four weeks.

We would like to hear the learned counsel for the parties on the point as to why the possession should not be directed to be handed over by the petitioner-Authority to the respondent-flat allottee.

(D.P. WALIA)
COURT MASTER

(VIJAY DHAWAN)
COURT MASTER