

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 1420 OF 2012 IN SLP(C)NO. 24740/2011

KODAMANTHAYA DAIVA AND ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LAXMI DEVADIAGA AND ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file c/Copy of the impugned order and oral hearing and c/delay in filing review petition & office report)

Date: 22/08/2012 This Petition was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR

For Petitioner(s)

By Circulation

For Respondent(s)

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

Application for exemption to file certified copy of the impugned order is allowed.

Permission for oral hearing is declined.

In terms of the signed order, the Review Petition is dismissed both on the ground of delay as well as on merit.

| (O.P. SHARMA)
| Court Master

| (M.S. NEGI)
| Court Master

|

(Signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO.1420 OF 2012
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION(C)NO. 24740/2011

KODAMANTHAYA DAIVA AND ANR. ..Petitioners

VERSUS

LAXMI DEVADIAGA AND ORS. ..Respondents

O R D E R

Application for exemption to file certified copy of the impugned order is allowed.

Permission for oral hearing is declined.

There is an inordinate delay of 243 days in filing the review petition. Even otherwise, we have considered the averments in the review petition. Having regard to the facts and issues involved, in our opinion, no case for review is made out. There is no error in the impugned order.

Hence, the Review Petition is dismissed both on the ground of delay as well as on merit.

.....J.
(A.K. PATNAIK)

.....J.
(SWATANTER KUMAR)

NEW DELHI
AUGUST 22, 2012

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 1420 OF 2012
IN

KODAMANTHAYA DAIVA AND ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LAXMI DEVADIAGA AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

We have considered the averments in the review petition. Having regard to the facts and issues involved, in our opinion, no case for review is made out. There is no error in the impugned order.

Hence, the Review Petition is dismissed.

.....J.
(A.K. PATNAIK)

.....J.
(SWATANTER KUMAR)

NEW DELHI
AUGUST 21, 2012