

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.7198-7199 OF 2001

TAMIL NADU HOUSING BOARD

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

N.V. SUNDARAM & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file additional documents and office report)

Date: 18/02/2009 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

For Appellant(s) Mr. E. Padmanabhan, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Promila, Adv.  
Mr. S. Thananjayan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. K. Parasaran, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. V. Balaji, Adv.  
Mr. Vijay Bhaskar Rao, Adv.  
Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.  
Mr. Shantanu Bhowmick, Adv.

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.  
for M/s. Arputham, Aruna & Co., Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In our opinion, this is not a fit case to exercise discretion under Article 136 of the Constitution of India.

The civil appeals are, accordingly, dismissed.

[ T.I. Rajput ] [ Indu Satija ]  
A.R.-cum-P.S. Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS.7198-7199 OF 2001

Tamil Nadu Housing Board

...Appellant(s)

Versus

N.V. Sundaram & Ors.

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In our opinion, this is not a fit case to exercise discretion under Article 136 of the Constitution of India.

The civil appeals are, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.  
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

.....J.  
[B. SUDERSHAN REDDY]

New Delhi,  
February 18, 2009.