

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 337 OF 2011

O.P.SHUKLA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.
(OFFICE REPORT ON DEFAULT)

Respondent(s)

Date: 21/08/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK
(IN CHAMBERS)

For the parties :

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.

Mr. Abhishth Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Archana Singh, Adv.Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Anant Sharma, Adv.Mr. Shiva Pujan Singh, Adv.
Ms. Niranjana Singh, Adv.Mr. R.K. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. M.K. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Adv.Mr. Vikas Bansal, Adv.
Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.Mr. Aditya Sharma, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

Mr. A. Subba Rao, Adv.

Mr. Anis Ahmed Khan, Adv.

Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, Adv.
Mr. B.Balaji, Adv.UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Perused the office report dated 18th August, 2012.

The unserved respondent Nos. 1, 2, 7, 9, 13 & 16 will be served and the petitioner will take steps accordingly within four weeks.

The service may be effected by serving the Standing Counsel of respondent Nos. 2, 7, 9, 13 & 16, and service on respondent No. 1 be effected by way of Dasti.

The interlocutory application No. 2, for the impleadment of Chamar Mahansabha, Punjab, is allowed.

The interlocutory application No. 3, for the impleadment of Haryana Pradesh Chamar Mahasab ha (Regd.) is also allowed.

Copy of writ petition be served on the newly impleaded parties. They may file reply within six weeks.

As no one appears to press interlocutory application Nos. 1 & 4, they are dismissed.

| (G. SUDHAKARA RAO)
| COURT MASTER

| | (SNEH LATA SHARMA)
| | COURT MASTER

|