

D

C.A.No. 8247 OF 2001
ITEM No.1

Court No. 8

SECTION XIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No.2 & 3 IN Civil Appeal No.8247/2001@@
CC

KERALA STATE CASHEW DEVELOPMENT CORP. Appellant (s)
VERSUS

SHAHAL HASSAN MUSSALIAR & ANR. Respondent (s)
(for clarification of Court's order dated 29-11-2001 & directions &
Office Report)
With
IA No.2 in CA 8249/2001
(for clarification of Court's order dated 29-11-2001)
IA No.2 in CA 8248/2001
(for clarification of Court's order dated 29-11-2001 & Office Report)
IA No.2 in CA 8250/2001
(for clarification of Court's order dated 29-11-2001)
IA No.2 in CA 8252/2001
(for clarification of Court's order dated 29-11-2001)
CONMT.PET.(Civil)No.365-367/2002 in CA Nos.8247, 8249 & 8252/2001
CONMT.PET.(Civil)No.442-444/2002 in CA Nos.8247, 8249 & 8252/2001

Date : 29/11/2002 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL

For Appellant (s) Mr. KK Venugopal, Sr. Adv.
Ms. K Sangeetha, Adv. for
Mr. K.R. Sasiprabhu, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. P Krishnamurthy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. M.T. George, Adv.
Ms. RK Sema, Adv.

For Staste of Kerala Mr. Ramesh Babu MR, Adv.
Mr. G Prakash, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

...L.....I....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.
In our view, the order clarification of which is sought
by these applications is clear enough. Neither any clarification
nor modification is required. Hence, these applications are
dismissed.

...2/-

Unions a reply affidavit is filed. In that affidavit, in paragraph 17 it is stated thus:

.....L....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP1

"The contentions of this para is wrong and denied. The workers of the respective factories never obstructed the entry of owners into their factories. The workers are always ready to Co-operate for opening the factories. The contention to the contrary are wrong and are denied. The Dharana to which the workers are doing is only for compelling the owners to open the factories and provide work for them in the factories. This in no way can be a ground for not opening the factories by the owners."

This affidavit is placed on record.

...L.....I....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

In the light of the above no further orders are required on the contempt petitions and the same are closed.

.SP1

(D.L. Chugh)
Court Master

(K.K. Chadha)
Court Master