

SECTION XVI

LISTED ON:12.2.2016

COURT NO.:

ITEM NO. :

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4480 of 1998, 3606 of 2003, 4481 of 1998, 3605 of 2003, 2782 of 2002, 3633 of 2003, 3731-33 of 2003, 7299 of 2002, 3853 of 2003 and 7195-7197 of 2001

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.2 in C.A. No.4480/1998

(Application for intervention)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 5 in C.A. No.4480/1998

(Application for impleadment)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.5,8 & 9 in C.A. No.3605/2003

(Application for impleadment)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.10 in C.A. No.3605/2003

(Application for direction)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.1-2 In C.A. NO.7195-97/2001

(Application for direction)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.4 In C.A. NO.3853/2003

(Application for direction)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.5 In C.A. NO.3853/2003

(Application for discharging the counsel from respondent No.2)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO... in C.A. No.2782/2002

(Application for substitution to bring on record the Lrs. Of deceased sole appellant)

G.T. VENKATASWAMY REDDY

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE TRANSPORT AUTHORITY & ORS.

....Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above-mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 20.01.2005 when the Court was pleased to pass the following order

"This is a group of matters raising common/connected questions of law for hearing. The parties are represented by a number of different counsel.

To expedite the hearing and decision, the

following directions are made:-

1. All the counsel for the parties having common interest/case should hold a conference and prepare a common synopsis of submissions stating

- (a) brief relevant facts;**
- (b) points arising for decision;**
- (c) pleas sought to be urged, and**

(d) list of authorities relied on (in support of each of the pleas).

(Reference to specific paragraphs be mentioned) Such synopsis shall be filed within four weeks.

2. We request the learned counsel for each set of parties to decide as amongst themselves who will lead in making submissions. In the event of there being more submissions that one to be made, the same may be divided between different counsel. In any case, repetition of submission has to be avoided.

3. At the end of hearing the Court may permit filing of detailed note of submission, if necessary.

Be listed for directions after four weeks. "

It is submitted that pursuant to Court's order quoted above no synopsis has been filed by any of the Counsel, so far.

C.A. NO.4480/1998:-

It is submitted that Ms. Kiran Suri, Advocate for the appellant has designated as Sr. Advocate. The notice for making alternative arrangement was issued to the appellant. AD Card duly signed has been received back from the appellant but he has not entered his appearance so far.

It is further submitted that there are 3 respondents in the matter. Respondent No.1 is represented through Mr. B.Balaji, Advocate and

Respondent No.3 is represented through Mr. A.V.Rangam, Advocate, and Respondent No.2 though served has not entered his appearance, so far.

It is further submitted that Dr. Mrs. Vipin Gupta, Advocate has filed an application for impleadment as party appellants in place of original sole appellant.

C.A. NO.3606/2003:-

There are two respondents in that matter and they are represented through Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate.

It is submitted that Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Advocate has on 16.3.2012 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents and the same have been included in the paper-books.

C.A. NO.4481/1998:-

There are three respondents in the matter and they are represented through Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate.

Service is complete.

C.A. NO.3605/2003:-

There is sole respondent in that matter and he is represented through Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate.

It is submitted that Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate has on 18.11.2015 filed an application for directions on behalf of the appellants and the same has been registered as I.A. NO.10.

C.A. NO.2782/2002:-

It is submitted that the sole appellant has died and Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Advocate has on 3.2.2016 filed an application for substitution to bring on record the Lrs. Of deceased sole petitioner alongwith the vakalatnama duly executed by the Lrs. but the same is defective for the reason that:-

(i) Complete address of the proposed Lr. has not been given.

(ii) The application is barred by time by 70 days and no application for condonation of delay has been filed despite Registry's letter dated 8.2.2016.

It is further submitted that there are two respondents in that matter and they are represented through Mr. Guntur Prabhakar and Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad, Advocates.

C.A. No.3633/2003:-

It is submitted that Mr. S.Srinivasan, Advocate for the appellant has died. The notice for making alternative arrangement was issued to the appellant by speed post. The track report shows that the same could not be served as "Door closed" intimation served.

It is submitted that there are two respondents in the matter and they are represented through Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate and Mr. Revathy Raghavan, Advocate.

C.A. NO.3731-3732/2003:-

It is submitted that Mr. S.Srinivasan, Advocate for the appellant has died. The notice for making alternative arrangement was issued to the appellant by speed post. The track report shows that the sole appellant in C.A. No.3731/2003 has been duly served but he has not entered his appearance so far. Service report in respect of sole appellant in C.A. NO.3732 and 3733 of 2003 shows that their doors locked intimation served.

It is further submitted that there are two respondents in each matters. Respondent No.2 is common in all the matters and they are represented through Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate. Respondent No.1 in C.A. NO.3731/2003 is also represented through Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate. Respondent No.1 in C.A. No.3732/2003 and 3733/2003 though served have not entered their appearance so far.

C.A. No.7299/2002:-

There are three respondents in the matter. Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 are represented through Mr. B.Balaji, Advocate and Respondent No.3 is represented through Mr. G.Ramakrishna Prasad, Advocate.

C.A. No.7195-7197/2001:-

There are two respondents in each appeal and they are represented through Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate.

C.A. NO.3853/2003:-

There are three respondents in the matter. Respondent nos. 1 & 3 are represented through Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate.

It is submitted that pursuant to above quoted order, Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, counsel for the Appellants has filed additional affidavit with annexure in Interlocutory Application NO.4 (application for directions) but he has not served copy of the same on the Advocate of other side. However, copy of the same is included in the Civil Appeal paper-books.

It is further submitted that Mr. K.V. Mohan, Advocate has on 6th February, 2015 filed an application for discharging the counsel from the Civil Appeal above-mentioned on behalf of respondent no.2, namely Ms. K.Renukadevi, which has been registered as Interlocutory Application NO.5.

Service of notice is complete.

The appeals are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office-report.

Dated this the 27th day of January, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to: Dr.(Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, Advocate
Mr. T.Harish Kumar, Advocate
Mr. A.V. Rangam, Advocate
Mrs. D. Bharthi Reddy, Advocate

Mr. G.Ramakrishna Prasad, Advocate
Mr. R. Ayyam Perumal, Advocate
M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co., Advocate
Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Advocate
Mr. K.V. Mohan, Advocate
Mr. N. Ganapathy, Advocate
Mr. S. Srinivasan, Advocate
Mr. P.N. Ramalingam, Advocate
Ms. Revathy Raghvan, Advocate
Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Advocate
Mr. Vijay Kumar , Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR