

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVI L AP P E A L NO(s). 76 5 7 OF 20 0 2

UNIT E D INDI A INSU R A N C E CO. LT D.

Appellant (s)

VER SUS

M/S. V E E K A Y EN G I N E E R I N G & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 22 / 0 1 / 2 0 0 9 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E MA R K A N D E Y KA T J U

HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E R.M. LODH A

For Appellant(s) Mr. M.K. Dua,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. B.D. Shar m a ,Adv.(Not present)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

[Signed Order is placed on the File]
I N T H E S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

CIVI L AP P E L L A T E J U R I S D I C T I O N

CIVI L AP P E A L NO.7 6 5 7 OF 2 0 0 2

United India Insura nce Co. Ltd.

..Appellant

versus

M/s. Vee Kay Engineering & Another

..Respondents

O R D E R

None appeared for the respondent, though the case was called
out twice.

Heard learned counsel for the appellant.

The short question involved in this case is 'Whether the fake

driving licence can be validly renewed and on that basis

claim is

sustainable before the District Consumer Forum'.

Learned counsel for the appellant has placed reliance on the

decision of this Court in the case of New India Assurance Co., Shimla

vs. Kamla & Others reported in (2001) 4 SCC 342, in which this Court has held in para 12 that the fake licence cannot be validly

renewed.

In view of the aforesaid legal position, this appeal

-2-

has to be allowed and impugned orders of the National Commission,

State Commission and District Forum are liable to be set aside. We

order accordingly. No order as to costs.

.....J.
[M A R K A N D E Y K A T J U]

N E W D E L H I ;
J A N U A R Y 2 2 , 2 0 0 9 .

..... J.
[R.M. LODHA]