

t
C.A.No. 1685-1686 OF 2001

ITEM No.3

Court No. 9

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.Nos. 9-10 In
Civil Appeal.No.1685-1686/2001

R.V. SRINATH PRASAD

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

NANDAMURI JAYAKRISHNA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(For directions)

Date : 08/05/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.P. MOHAPATRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL

For the applicant/
respondent Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.
 Ms. Sumita Mukherjee, Adv.
 Mr. R. Mukherjee, Adv.

For Appellant (s)
 Mr. A. Raghunath, Adv.

For Respondent (s)
 Mr. Naveen R. Nath, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

I.A.Nos. 9-10 are dismissed.

.SP1

(Meena Sarin)
AR Cum PS

(S. Malkani)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

.PA

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A.NOS. 9-10 IN CIVIL APPEAL NOS.1685-1686/2001@@
CC

R.V. Srinath Prasad

...Appellant

versus

Nandamuri Jayakrishna and Ors.

...Respondents

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCCCC

.SP2

Heard Shri Rakesh Dwivedi, learned senior counsel for the respondent No.1-applicant and Shri A. Raghunath, learned counsel for the appellant.

Shri Dwivedi submits that the applicant apprehends that the Family Court may be influenced by certain observations made in our order dated March 2, 2001. We are not satisfied that there is any basis for such apprehension. It goes without saying that any observation made in the order is only for the purpose of deciding the application for interim order.

With these observations, I.A.Nos. 9 to 10 are dismissed.

.SP1

.....J.
(D.P. MOHAPATRA)

New Delhi,
May 8, 2001

.....J.
(SHIVARAJ V PATIL)