

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 4836/2013

M/S SOLAR IND. INDIA LTD.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

COMP. COMM. OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 6091/2013
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 6137/2013
(With Office Report)

C.A. No. 9173-9181/2013
(With Office Report)

W.P.(C) No. 235/2014
(With Office Report)

T.P.(C) No. 1432/2014
(With appln.(s) for stay and Office Report)

Date : 23/04/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri,Adv.

Ms. Aakanksha Nehra,Adv.
M/s. Parekh & Co.,Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Ms. T. Anamika,Adv.

Digitally signed by
Madhu Grover
Date: 2015.04.24
12:13:12 CEST
Reason:

Mr. Arjun Krishnan,Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma,Adv.

Item No.21

2

For Respondent(s)

Mr. B. K. Satija,Adv.

Mr. Tanuj Bhushan,Adv.

M/s Suresh A. Shroff & Co.,Adv.

Ms. Mansa Singh,Adv.

Ms. Sushma Suri,Adv.

Mr. Subrominum Prasad,Adv.

Ms. Nidhi Singh,Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma,Adv.

Ms. RadhaLakshmi.R,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

C.A. Nos. 4836 and 6137/2013

The office report indicates that the Ld. Counsel for the appellant and the Ld. Counsel for the appearing respondents have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by letter dated 15.11.2014 of the Registry. Respondent No.2 has already filed the statement of case. Order

XIX
Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent who has entered appearance does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same. Therefore, i
n view of the rule position cited above the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.
Item No.21 3

C.A. Nos. 6091, 9173-9181/2013

The office report indicates that the certificate of service of notice in respect of respondent Nos. 7, 9 and 13 in C.A. No. 6091 and 9181/2013, respondent Nos. 5,7 and 12 in C.A. No. 9173/2013. respondent No. 1 in C.A. Nos. 9176 and 9178/2013, respondent Nos. 6,8 and 12 in C.A. No. 9180/2013 has not been from the concerned court as yet. A reminder shall be issued.

List again on 12.8.2015.

W.P.(C) No. 235/2014

Respondent No. 3 has already filed the counter affidavit. Service of notice is complete on the respondent No. 1 but no one has entered appearance on his behalf. Respondent Nos. 2 and 4 have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules, if and when the connected ones get ready for listing.

T.P.(C) No. 1432/2014

Service of notice is complete on the respondent No. 1 but no one has entered appearance on his behalf. rt indicates that the service report in respect of respondent No.2 has not been received as yet from the concerned Court. shall be issued and list again on 12.8.2015.

The office repo

A reminder

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

MG