

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).9666/2010

MUNICIPAL CORPN. OF DELHI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KHEM CHAND & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)
WITH SLP(C)NO.9667/10

Date: 04/12/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Mr.Akshay Verma,adv.
Mr. Praveen Swarup,Adv.

For Respondent(s)
Ms. Anitha Shenoy,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Ld.counsel for the petitioner has filed an I.A. for substituted service in relation to respondent No.10. Ld.Registrar in Chamber by order dated 9.11.2012 has allowed the application and directed that the service be effected by publishing in the newspaper mentioned therein. Ld.counsel for the petitioner submits that Ld.Registrar has directed to publish it in the Aligarh edition and on inquiry, it is understood that the newspapers have no edition from Aligarh and they

Contd....2

ITEM NO.18

-2-

publish either from Lucknow or from Varanasi. Ld.counsel submits that directions may be issued clarifying the above position and permit him to publish in any of the above editions which have substantial circulation in Aligarh.

I find no merit in the above contention since Ld.Registrar in Chamber has directed that the notice be published in the two newspapers in "U.P.edition". It is clear that Ld.Registrar has not directed to publish it in the Aligarh edition. Hence, he may publish in the U.P. edition having circulation in Aligarh. Produce paper publication.

List the matter on 29.01.2013.

| (Sunil Thomas) |

