

\*

ITEM NO.43

COURT NO.8

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).11769/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 22/09/2008 in LPA No.1156/2007 of the HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI)

MUNICIPAL CORP.OF DELHI & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AMIT KUMAR

Respondent(s)

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 11771 of 2009

(With appln for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment, permission to place addl. documents on record, permission for urging addl. facts and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 11775 of 2009

(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP, permission to place addl. documents on record and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 10517 of 2010

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 9666 of 2010

(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 9667 of 2010

(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date: 26/07/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K SIKRI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Adv.

Mr. Anirudh Gupta, Adv. (NP)

Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.

Mr. R.K. Shukla, Adv.

Mr. Raj Kishor Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Badiar, Adv.

for Mr. Ravi Kumar Tomar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.

...2/-

: 2 :

Mr. N. Prabhakar, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Jha, Adv.

for Mr. Kaushik Poddar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Heard counsel on either side.

We find no reason to entertain these special leave petitions, which are dismissed.

All the same, we direct the petitioner to implement the order of the High Court within a period of six months from today.

We make it clear that since the respondents are not in service the question of their reinstatement does not arise.

| (N.S.K. Kamesh)

| | (Renuka Sadana)

|  
| Court Master

| | Court Master

|