

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).18399-18400/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 02/05/2012 in EFA No.15/2012 dated 01/06/2012 in CM No.10653/2012 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

EVENEET SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KAVITA CHAUDHURI & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment, permission to file lengthy list of dates and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 18/06/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI
[VACATION BENCH]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P.H. Parekh, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.
Mr. Sobhna Takkar, Adv.
Mr. Dhruv Tamta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Indu Malhotra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ankur Mahindro, Adv
Mr. Vanshdeep Dalmia, Adv. for
M/S. Karanjawala & Co.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard Mr. P.H Parekh, learned senior counsel for the petitioner and Ms. Indu Malhotra, learned senior counsel for the respondent No. 1.

We have gone through the record and perused the orders passed by the High Court of Delhi.

Having seen the Review Application, we do not find any specific averment of the petitioner that she had not agreed to the terms which are recorded by the Division Bench of the Delhi High Court in its order dated May 2, 2012. There was no error on the part of the High Court in passing of the impugned order dated June 1, 2012.

We see no reason to interfere with the impugned orders dated May 2, 2012 and June 1, 2012.

The Special Leave Petitions are dismissed accordingly.

Ms. Indu Malhotra, learned senior counsel for the respondent No. 1, on instructions, submits that so far as the articles of the petitioner are concerned, they are lying in superdari and the respondent No. 1 is at liberty to take them back.

|(Pardeep Kumar)
|Court Master

|(Renu Diwan)
|Court Master

|