

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4247/2026

VIVEK PARTI

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

UTTRAKHAND POWER CORPORATION LIMITED & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. The National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT) has dismissed the appeal of the appellant on the ground that it was filed beyond the condonable period of delay. The sole question which arose for consideration before the NCLAT was whether limitation period would be counted from the date of pronouncement or from the date of uploading the order. As the said question has already been answered by this Court in *A. Rajendra vs. Gonugunta Madhusudhan Rao*, (2025) 6 SCC 618 holding that where the order is pronounced in open Court, limitation would be from the date of pronouncement, NCLAT dismissed the appeal on the ground of delay. We do not find any error in the view taken by the NCLAT, the appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

2. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J
[MANOJ MISRA]

.....J
[MANMOHAN]

New Delhi
April 15, 2026

ITEM NO.8

COURT NO.14

SECTION XVII-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4247/2026

VIVEK PARTI

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

UTTRAKHAND POWER CORPORATION LIMITED & ANR.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 105806/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 105804/2026 - STAY APPLICATION

Date : 15-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Karan Gandhi, Adv.
Mr. Sikhar Tiwari, Adv.
Ms. Vidhika Kapoor, Adv.
Ms. Riya Jain, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Arora, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order which is placed on the file.
2. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KAVITA PAHUJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SAPNA BANSAL)
COURT MASTER (NSH)