

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.10

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. 3/2015, I.A. 4/2016, I.A. 5/2016, I.A. 6/2016, I.A. 7/2016,  
I.A. .../2016 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.  
20573/2011

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 23/03/2011  
in NOM No. 783/2011 passed by the High Court of Bombay)

ABU SUFIYAN RAHIMULLA SHEIKH &amp; ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NISHAT ARA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For bringing on record the LRs. of deceased respondent and  
substitution of LRs of the deceased respondent and c/delay in  
filing substitution appln. and deletion of the name of respondent  
and discharge of advocate on record and setting aside an abatement)

Date : 13/02/2017 These applications were called on for hearing  
today.

CORAM : HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD  
[IN CHAMBERS]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ayushman Kotwal, Adv.  
Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Jatin Zaveri, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Issue notice on the application for condonation of  
delay as well as on the application to bring on record  
the legal heirs of deceased respondent No.6, whose names  
are set out in paragraph No.2 of the application,  
returnable after four weeks from today.

An application has been filed seeking deletion of  
respondent No.10 on the ground that he died on 29  
September, 2015 and has left behind no legal

representative. In the circumstances, respondent No.10 be deleted from the array of parties at the risk and cost of the petitioners.

I.A. No.7/2016 has been filed seeking discharge of the Advocate-on-Record who has entered appearance on behalf of Respondent No.30. It has been stated in the application that after the caveat was lodged, no instructions are forthcoming from respondent No.30. In the circumstances, the prayer for discharge is allowed in terms as prayed.

(RASHMI DHYANI)  
SR.P.A.

(SUMAN JAIN)  
COURT MASTER