

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4360 OF 2000

SHAH MIR MOHAMED ALI (DEAD) BY LRS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

B.C. RAVISHANKAR AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With application for substitution to bring on record the legal representatives of deceased Respondent No. 3, application under Order 23 Rule 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure for recording compromise and office report)

Date: 12/04/2005 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. M. Gireesh Kumar, Adv.

for Ms. Sangeeta Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

No.1 and Lrs. of

Mr. D.P. Chaturvedi, Adv.

deceased Res.No. 3

for Mr. S.N. Bhat, Adv.

No. 7

Mr.Khwairakpam Nobin Singh ,Adv. (Not Present)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Substitution allowed.

The appeal is allowed.

No costs.

[Alka Dudeja]

Court Master

[Om Prakash]

Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4360 OF 2000

Shah Mir Mohamed Ali (Dead) by Lrs.

...Appellant (s)

Versus

B.C. Ravishankar and Ors.

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

A petition for compromise has been filed on behalf of all the parties. We ha

ve

perused the same and in our view, the compromise is lawful.

The appeal is, accordingly, allowed. In view of the compromise, judgment and decree passed by the High Court are set aside and the suit filed by the plaintiff is dismissed.

No costs.

.....J.

(B.N. Agrawal)

.....J.

(H.K. Sema)

New Delhi,

April 12, 2005.