

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 1121/2012

S.K.BANSAL & ANR. ...APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

H.U.D.A. & ANR. ...RESPONDENT(S)

ORDER

1. We do not consider it necessary for the purpose of disposal of the case to go into the correctness of the view expressed by a two-judge Bench of this Court in UT Chandigarh Administration and another Vs. Amarjeet Singh and others¹. According to us, the matter is capable of resolution on a shorter point.

2. The grievance of the appellants is with regard to the completion of the development works which the Authority issuing the allotment i.e. HUDA, under the terms of the allotment letter, is obliged to complete. While there is an assertion on the part of the respondent - HUDA that development works have been carried out, the said fact is being disputed.

1. (2009) 4 SCC 660

3. In the aforesaid circumstances, without creating a precedent and without entering into any discussion on the correctness of the views expressed in UT Chandigarh Administration and another Vs. Amarjeet Singh and others (supra) we permit the appellants to raise the aforesaid controversy, if they are so advised, before the jurisdictional Consumer Forum which will answer the question as and when raised.

4. With the aforesaid observations, the appeal is disposed of.

.....,CJI.
(RANJAN GOGOI)

.....,J.
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

.....,J.
(K.M. JOSEPH)

NEW DELHI
OCTOBER 30, 2018

ITEM NO.102

COURT NO.1

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 1121/2012

S.K.BANSAL & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

H.U.D.A. & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

Date : 30-10-2018 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPH

For Appellant(s)

Mr. N.K. Sahoo, Adv.
Mr. Radhakanta Tripathy, Adv.
Ms. S.B. Sahoo, Adv.
Mr. Haresh Raichura, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Devinder Kr. Saini, Addl.AG, Haryana
Ms. Anubha Agrawal, Adv.
Dr. Monika Gusain, AORUPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order. Consequently, all pending applications shall stand disposed of.

[VINOD LAKHINA]
AR-cum-PS[ASHA SONI]
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]