

XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6276 OF 2000

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Appellant

(s)

VERSUS

G. AKASH & ORS.

Respondent

(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report )

Date: 16/12/2004 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Vishnu B. Saharya, Adv.

For M/S Saharya & Co.,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Romy Chacko, Adv.

Mr. Biswanath Agrrawalla, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Mehta,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Pursuant to the order passed by this Court, counsel for the appellant had supplied a list of vacant flats for allocation and the price thereof to the respondent No.1 on 6th December, 2004. Counsel for Respondent No.1 had taken time to seek instructions from his client. The details of the seven flats which were offered to respondent No.1 are as under:

Sl. No.	FLAT NO.	PKT.NO.	FLOOR	COST OF FLAT
1.	185	D&E	S.F.	Rs.16,56,200/-
2.	221	D&E	T.F.	Rs.15,40,900/-
3.	48	D	F.F.	Rs.15,94,700/-
4.	59	F&G	T.F.	Rs.13,50,700/-
5.	147	K&L	S.F.	Rs.16,56,800/-
6.	199	K&L	S.F.	Rs.16,56,800/-
7.	28	K&L	T.F.	Rs.16,54,200/-

2

Counsel for Respondent No.1, after taking instructions, states that respondent No.1 is willing to take flat No. 147, which is at serial No.5 above. Counsel for respondent No.1 states that this price is exorbitant and respondent No.1 would not be in a position to pay the same. He seeks allocation of the flat on the price on which his father had been given the flat in Sheikh Sarai.

Counsel for the appellant states that he would also verify the price of the similar flat in Sheikh Sarai where father of respondent No.1 was allocated the flat originally in the year 1980 and the final installment was paid in the year 1983.

To be listed after winter vacation to enable the counsel for the appellant to verify the price in Sheikh Sarai area.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)

Court Master

(Kanwal Singh)

Court Master