

ITEM NO.104

COURT NO.11

SECTION XIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2197/2009

SECRETARY, GREATER COCHIN DEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY, KOCHI

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

K.K.PEETHAMBARAN & ORS
(WITH INTERIM RELIEF AND OFFICE REPORT)
WITH

Respondent(s)

C.A. NO. 2198/2009
(WITH INTERIM RELIEF AND OFFICE REPORT)
C.A. NO. 2199/2009
(WITH INTERIM RELIEF AND OFFICE REPORT)
C.A. NO. 2200/2009
(WITH INTERIM RELIEF AND OFFICE REPORT)
C.A. NO. 2201/2009
(WITH INTERIM RELIEF AND OFFICE REPORT)

Date : 28/08/2014 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Parties (s)

Mr. C.S. Rajan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sudarsh Menon, Adv.

Mr. Romy Chacko, Adv.

Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.

Ms. Liz Mathew, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed
order.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

[VINOD LAKHINA]

[ASHA SONI]

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

Vinod Lakhina

Date: 2014.08.29

16:41:57 IST

Reason:

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]

1

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2197 OF 2009

SECRETARY, GREATER COCHIN DEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY, KOCHI

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

K.K.PEETHAMBARAN & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

WITH

C.A. NO. 2198/2009
(SECRETARY, GCDA, KOCHI
VS.
K. JOHN & ORS.)

C.A. NO. 2199/2009
(SECRETARY, GCDA, KOCHI
VS.
K.K. ABDUL SALAM & ORS.)

C.A. NO. 2200/2009
(SECRETARY, GCDA, KOCHI
VS.
THRESIAMMA THOMAS & ORS.)

C.A. NO. 2201/2009
(SECRETARY, GCDA, KOCHI
VS.
S. RAMACHANDRAN & ANR.)

ORDER

The only issue in this group of appeals

is with regard to the liability of the
respondents to pay interest. It is stated that
out of the 45 respondents before us, 41
respondents have already paid the amount due on

2

interest and 4 respondents, namely, P.P. Rajan,
S. Dandapani, S.P. Ramachandran and KCS Menon are
yet to pay Having regard to the smallness of the
amount involved, we decline to exercise our
jurisdiction under Article 136 of the
Constitution of India in the present cases.
However, we make it clear that the 41 respondents
who have voluntarily paid the amount will not be
entitled to any refund of the same.

The appeals are disposed of in the above
terms.

....., J.
(RANJAN GOGOI)

....., J.
(R.K. AGRAWAL)

NEW DELHI
AUGUST 28, 2014