

ITEM NO.104

COURT NO.11

SECTION XIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2197/2009

SECRETARY, GREATER COCHIN DEVELOPMENT  
AUTHORITY, KOCHI

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

K.K.PEETHAMBARAN & ORS  
(WITH INTERIM RELIEF AND OFFICE REPORT)  
WITH

Respondent(s)

C.A. NO. 2198/2009  
(WITH INTERIM RELIEF AND OFFICE REPORT)  
C.A. NO. 2199/2009  
(WITH INTERIM RELIEF AND OFFICE REPORT)  
C.A. NO. 2200/2009  
(WITH INTERIM RELIEF AND OFFICE REPORT)  
C.A. NO. 2201/2009  
(WITH INTERIM RELIEF AND OFFICE REPORT)

Date : 28/08/2014 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Parties (s)

Mr. C.S. Rajan, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sudarsh Menon, Adv.

Mr. Romy Chacko, Adv.

Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.

Ms. Liz Mathew, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E RThe appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed  
order.

Signature Not Verified

[VINOD LAKHINA]

[ASHA SONI]

Digitally signed by

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

Vinod Lakhina

Date: 2014.08.29

16:41:57 IST

Reason:

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]

1

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2197 OF 2009

SECRETARY, GREATER COCHIN DEVELOPMENT  
AUTHORITY, KOCHI

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

K.K.PEETHAMBARAN & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

WITH

C.A. NO. 2198/2009  
(SECRETARY, GCDA, KOCHI  
VS.  
K. JOHN & ORS.)

C.A. NO. 2199/2009  
(SECRETARY, GCDA, KOCHI  
VS.  
K.K. ABDUL SALAM & ORS.)

C.A. NO. 2200/2009  
(SECRETARY, GCDA, KOCHI  
VS.  
THRESIAMMA THOMAS & ORS.)

C.A. NO. 2201/2009  
(SECRETARY, GCDA, KOCHI  
VS.  
S. RAMACHANDRAN & ANR.)

ORDER

The only issue in this group of appeals

is with regard to the liability of the  
respondents to pay interest. It is stated that  
out of the 45 respondents before us, 41  
respondents have already paid the amount due on

2

interest and 4 respondents, namely, P.P. Rajan,  
S. Dandapani, S.P. Ramachandran and KCS Menon are  
yet to pay Having regard to the smallness of the  
amount involved, we decline to exercise our  
jurisdiction under Article 136 of the  
Constitution of India in the present cases.  
However, we make it clear that the 41 respondents  
who have voluntarily paid the amount will not be  
entitled to any refund of the same.

The appeals are disposed of in the above  
terms.

.....,J.  
(RANJAN GOGOI)

....., J.  
(R.K. AGRAWAL)

NEW DELHI  
AUGUST 28, 2014