

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

B E F O R E T H E R E G I S T R A R M K H A N J U R A

Civil Appeal No(s). 9016/2011

KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD.
t(s)

Appellan

V E R S U S

R. SWARUP REDDY
nt(s)

Responde

(with office report)

Date : 23/04/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Ms.Saumya Mehrotra,adv.
Mr. Bhargava V. Desai,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.Santanam,adv.
Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale,Adv.

U P O N h e a r i n g t h e c o u n s e l t h e C o u r t m a d e t h e f o l l o w i n g
O R D E R

The office report is that Ld.counsel for the parties have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by letter dated 06.10.2012 of this Registry.

Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that

if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal(SLP)/appeal,

Signature Not Verified

statement
Digitally signed by
Sushma Kumari Bajaj

of case, and does not desire to file any

further
Date: 2015.04.25
09:57:43 IST
Reason:

statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB