

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).9028/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 06/04/2010 in CRLA No. 1587/2006 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

BALDEV SINGH Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB Respondent(s)  
(With appln(s) for bail and office report)  
WITH R.P.(CRL) NO. 99 of 2011 in SLP(Crl.) No.6032/2010  
(With appln(s) for bail and c/delay in filing review petition)

Date: 11/07/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. V.K.Jhanji, Adv.  
Ms.Jyoti Mendiratta,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Saurabh Ajay Gupta, AAG for  
Mr. Kuldip Singh,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Delay condoned.

The Review Petition is allowed and the special leave petition is restored to its original number.

Leave granted in both the special leave petitions.

Heard learned counsel for the parties on merits.

The Appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed order. The appellants be taken into custody to serve out the sentence.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla) (Indu Satija )  
Court Master Court Master

[signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1359 OF 2011  
(Arising from SLP(Crl.) No.9028/2010)

Baldev Singh ..Appellant

versus

State of Punjab ..Respondent

WITH  
REVIEW PETITION(CRL.) NO.99 OF 2011  
IN  
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1360 OF 2011  
(Arising from SLP(Crl.) No.6032/2010)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The Review Petition is allowed and the special leave petition is restored to its original number.

Heard learned counsel for the parties on merits.

Leave granted.

These Appeals have been filed against the common impugned judgment dated 06th April, 2010 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh in Criminal Appeal Nos.1587 and 1517 of 2006.

The trial Court convicted both the accused under Section 7 read with Section 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and sentenced them to undergo rigorous imprisonment for one and half years and to pay a fine of Rs.1000/- each and in default of payment of fine to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for two months.  
CRIMINAL APPEAL arising from SLP(Crl.) No.9028/2010 etc.

-2-

On appeal by both the accused, the High Court by the impugned common judgment has dismissed the appeals. Hence, these appeals.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the record of the case, we are of the opinion that ends of justice would be sub-served if, while upholding the conviction of both the accused, we reduce the period of sentence from one and half years rigorous imprisonment to one year's rigorous imprisonment. We order accordingly. However, the fine along with default clause

imposed by the courts below is confirmed.

By orders of this Court dated 06th December, 2010 and 12th May, 2011, the appellants were released on bail respectively. Their bail bonds are cancelled and they be taken into custody to serve out the sentence.

The Appeals are disposed of in the above terms.

.....J.  
[MARKANDEY KATJU]

NEW DELHI; .....J.  
JULY 11, 2011 [CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD]