

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).20346/2003

(From the judgement and order dated 06/08/2002 in OSA No. 29/2002 of
The HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

G.V. KALAVATHI (DEAD) BY LRS Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

T. SARASWATHI PRASAD SINGH & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and directions and
office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 18731 of 2003
(With office report)

Date: 02/12/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. A. Subba Rao, Adv.
Mr. K.L. Sastry, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kumar Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. P.V. Kameshwaran, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Shekhar G. Devasa, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Pandey, Adv.
for Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeals are disposed of qua respondent nos.
1,3 and 4 in terms of the Memo of Compromise dated
7.7.2008 and 9.7.2008. There shall be a decree in terms
of compromise.

The appeals are dismissed as against respondent
nos. 5,6 and 7 in terms of the signed order. No order as
to costs.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI) (RENUKA SADANA)
Court Master Court Master
(The signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 10266 OF 2010
(Arising out of SLP(Civil) No. 20346 of 2003)

G.V. KALAVATHI (DEAD) BY LRS Appellant(s)

VERSUS

T. SARASWATHI PRASAD SINGH & ORS. Respondent(s)

WITH

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appellants and respondent nos. 1,3 and 4 have entered into compromise as is evident from the Memo of Compromise dated 7.7.2008 and 9.7.2008. That so far as respondent nos. 5, 6 & 7 are concerned, the learned Counsel for the appellants states that the appellants do not wish to press the appeal against them.

The Memorandum of compromise dated 7.7.2008 and 9.7.2008 are taken on record and the appeals shall stand disposed of qua respondent nos. 1, 3 and 4 in terms of the said compromise dated 7.7.2008 and 9.7.2008. The impugned order shall stand modified in terms of this order. There shall be a decree in terms of compromise. The appeals are dismissed as against respondent nos. 5,6 and 7. No order as to costs.

.....J.
(B. Sudershan Reddy)

.....J.
(Surinder Singh Nijjar)

New Delhi
December 2, 2010.