

S U P R E M E            C O U R T   O F            I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 5/2010 IN

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).14889/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 25/02/2009 in WPC No.5429/2008 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

GOVT OF INDIA TH:SECY &amp; ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAVI PRAKASH GUPTA &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

(For directions and office report)

WITH Contempt Petition (C) No.263 of 2011 in  
S.L.P. (C) No.14889 of 2009

Date: 14/07/2011        These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :                    HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR  
                                 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CYRIAC JOSEPH  
                                 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

For Petitioner(s)            Mrs. Indra Jai Singh, A.S.G. (NP)  
                                 Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Adv.  
                                 Mrs. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.  
                                 Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.  
  
                                 Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

IN CP 263/2011            Petitioner-in-Person

For Respondent(s)        Respondent-In-Person, Adv.  
  
                                 Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.  
  
                                 Ms. A. Sumathi, Adv.  
  
                                 Mr. Samir Ali Khan, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The main grievance in the contempt petition, which has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, Ravi Prakash Gupta, is that in spite of the orders passed by the Delhi High Court and this Court, he had been given appointment but without any cadre allotment. His further grievance is that he has not been paid back wages.

During the course of hearing of the contempt petition, it, however, transpired that petitioner has been assigned a cadre in Chattisgarh. If he has any grievance against the same, his relief would not lie in this contempt petition, but before the appropriate authority.

As far as the second part of his grievances is concerned, no direction for payment of back wages had been given and his pay was directed to be fixed notionally and actual pay was to be given to him from the actual date of his joining. Accordingly, there is no substance in the

contempt petition and the same is dismissed.

As indicated above, this will not prevent the petitioner from pursuing his remedy, if any, before the appropriate Forum.

As far as I.A. No.5 of 2010, which has been filed in the special leave petition, is concerned, the same is not pressed and is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Chetan Kumar)  
Court Master

(Juginder Kaur)  
Assistant Registrar