

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 10466-10476 OF 2011

STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MEGHALAYA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH Civil Appeal NO. 101-102 of 2012

Date: 19/02/2014 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Ms. Anitha Shenoy,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Bhargava V. Desai,Adv.
Mr. Shreyas Mehrotra,Adv.
M/S Arputham,Aruna & Co.,Adv
Mr. Abhishek Vinod Deshmukh,Adv.
M/S. Parekh & Co.,Adv
Mr. Gautam Narayan,Adv.
Mr. Mubashir Mushtaq,Adv.
Mr. Bharat J. Joshi,Adv.
Ms. Tatini Basu,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

On behalf of the appellant in C.A. Nos. 10468 and 10471/2011 the proof affidavit has been filed stating that respondent No.1 have stopped business at the known address and their whereabouts are not known. Hence the notices were affixed on the last known place of business of respective dealers. The affidavit is seen filed by the Joint Commissioner of Commercial Taxes (Legal Affairs) Bangalore.

It is pertinent to note that the affixture has been effected by the appellant himself which is not a recognized

..2/-

Item No. 51

- 2 -

mode of service. Hence I am not inclined to accept it as a proper service. The learned counsel for the appellant is granted time for taking fresh steps against the unserved respondent.

List the matter on 11.7.2014.

|
|mg

|
|

| (SUNIL THOMAS)
| Registrar

|
|