

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 5237 OF 2006

UNION OF INDIA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RAJ KUMAR & ORS.  
(With office report on default)

Respondent(s)

Date: 30/09/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA  
(IN CHAMBERS)

For Appellant(s) Mr.Anand V.Sharma,Adv.  
Mrs Anil Katiyar,Adv.  
Mr. V.S.Pandey,Adv.  
Mr.B.K.Prasad,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms.Shalu Prasad, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

An application is filed by the appellant praying for bringing the legal representatives of the respondent late Ajmer Kaur on record. The application is defective and therefore the same is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the appellant to file an appropriate application in accordance with law within three weeks failing which it shall be treated to be dismissed as against the said respondent no.2, without further reference to the Court in terms of the signed order.

(KUSUM SYAL) (RENU DIWAN)  
SR.P.A. COURT MASTER  
(Signed Order is placed on the file)  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 5237 OF 2006

UNION OF INDIA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RAJ KUMAR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

An application is filed by the appellant praying for bringing the legal representatives of the respondent late Ajmer Kaur on record. The application is defective and therefore the same is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the

appellant to file an appropriate application in accordance with law within three weeks failing which it shall be treated to be dismissed as against the said respondent no.2, without further reference to the Court.

.....J  
(DR.MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA)

NEW DELHI  
SEPTEMBER 30, 2010