

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

C I V I L A P P E A L N O ( s ) . 2 3 6 5 O F 2 0 0 1

T.V. G O P A L A K R I S H N A N

Appellant (s)

V E R S U S

A.P. K R I S H N A K U M A R & O R S.  
(With office report )

Respondent(s)

Date: 31/ 0 7 / 2 0 0 7 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON' B L E M R. J U S T I C E A.K. MATHU R  
HON' B L E M R. J U S T I C E M A R K A N D E Y K A T J U

For Appellant(s)

Mr. T.L.V. Iyer, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Kar un Mehta, Adv.  
Mr. Gopala Kri shn a n R., Av.  
Mr. Subra mo ni u m P r a s a d, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. T.R.Andhyarujin a, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. A. Raghun ath, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order. No order as to costs.

(Ajay Kr. J ain)  
Court Master  
ster

(Vijay Dha  
Court Ma

(Signed order is placed on the file)

I N T H E S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
C I V I L A P P E L L A T E J U R I S D I C T I O N

C I V I L A P P E A L N O . 2 3 6 5 O F 2 0 0 1

T.V. Gopalak ri s hn a n

.... Appellant

Versus

A.P. Krishn a k u m a r & Ors.

.... Respondents

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

We do not find any merit in this appeal, the same is dismissed in view of the subsequent developments that have taken place in that the respondents have also become co-owners of the same estate and, therefore, the landlord- tenant suit now cannot survive. We have been informed that a suit for partition for

determining the rights of the parties by metes and bounds has already been filed and the same is pending. We request the court concerned to expeditiously dispose of the suit for partition. No order as to costs.

.....J .

(A.K.M A T H U R)

.....

.....J .

(MAR K A N D E Y KA T J U)

.....

New Delhi  
July 31, 2007