

REVISED FOR APPEARANCE

ITEM NO.20

COURT NO.9

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 5773/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17-02-2026 in CRMM No. 38130/2025 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh]

SAKEEL

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

RESPONDENT(S)

(FOR ADMISSION

IA NO. 99028/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA NO. 99026/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 06-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ MITHAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Divya Gulati, Adv.
 Mr. Sachin Saini, AOR
 Mr. Raghav Singh, Adv.
 Mr. Ujjwal Singh Parmar, Adv.
 Ms. Neha Raj Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Abhinav Bajaj, AAG
 Mr. Saksham Ojha, Adv.
 Ms. Geetashi Chandna, Adv.
 Mr. Rahul Khurana, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
 O R D E R

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. One of the submission of counsel for the petitioner is that one of the co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail.
3. In view of the above, we direct the State

counsel to seek directions, if the co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail.

4. Issue notice.

5. Mr. Rahul Khurana, learned Advocate-on-Record for the State has appeared on advance notice and accepts notice. Hence, formal service of notice upon the said respondent is dispensed with. He submits that petitioner has not joined the investigation.

6. In the meantime, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioner, provided the petitioner joins the investigation and cooperates with the Investigating Officer.

7. List after a week.

(SNEHA DAS)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(NIDHI MATHUR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

ITEM NO.20

COURT NO.9

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 5773/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 17-02-2026 in CRMM No. 38130/2025 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh]

SAKEEL

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

RESPONDENT(S)

(FOR ADMISSION

IA NO. 99028/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA NO. 99026/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 06-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ MITHAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rahul Khuran, Adv.
Ms. Divya Gulati, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Saini, AOR
Mr. Raghav Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ujjwal Singh Parmar, Adv.
Ms. Neha Raj Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Abhinav Bajaj, AAG
Mr. Saksham Ojha, Adv.
Ms. Geetashi Chandna, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Khurana, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. One of the submission of counsel for the petitioner is that one of the co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail.
3. In view of the above, we direct the State

counsel to seek directions, if the co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail.

4. Issue notice.

5. Mr. Rahul Khurana, learned Advocate-on-Record for the State has appeared on advance notice and accepts notice. Hence, formal service of notice upon the said respondent is dispensed with. He submits that petitioner has not joined the investigation.

6. In the meantime, no coercive action shall be taken against the petitioner, provided the petitioner joins the investigation and cooperates with the Investigating Officer.

7. List after a week.

(SNEHA DAS)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(NIDHI MATHUR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)