

C.A.No. 178 OF 2000
ITEM NO.104

COURT NO.6

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 178 OF 2000

AYODHYA PRASAD (DEAD) THROUGH LRS. APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

LAL SURENDRA SINGH & ORS. RESPONDENT(S)
(With office report)

Date: 24/08/2004 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. SRIKRISHNA

For Appellant(s)Mr. Rohit Singh, Adv.
for Mr. Satish K. Agnihotri,Adv.

For Respondent(s)
Mr. Prakash Shrivastava,Adv.

Ex-Parte

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed with no costs in terms of the signed order.

Sarita (Madhu Saxena)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 178 OF 2000

AYODHYA PRASAD (DEAD) THROUGH LRS. ... APPELLANT

VERSUS

LAL SURENDRA SINGH & ORS. ... RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we are satisfied that the High Court was right in passing the impugned judgment that no substantial question or questions of law arose for consideration between the parties. The perusal of the judgment of the First Appellate Court clearly shows that a finding of fact has been recorded on proper appreciation of material placed on record, in the light of the pleadings of the parties. The High Court, in our view, was justified in dismissing the second appeal by the impugned judgment holding that no substantial question of law arose for consideration.

..2/-

.2.

Finding no good ground or valid reason to interfere with the impugned judgment, we dismiss this appeal.

No costs.

.....J.
[SHIVARAJ V. PATIL]

.....J.
New Delhi, [B.N. SRIKRISHNA]
August 24, 2004.