

ITEM NO.22

COURT NO.10

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).4862/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 13/02/2009 in CRLM No.4285/2008 of the HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

RINA GILL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay) (For Final Disposal)

Date: 23/02/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Prahlad Kumar, Adv.
 Mr. S.K. Sabharwal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Kuldip Singh ,Adv
 MR. R.K. Pandey, Adv.
 Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Adv.
 Mr. Mohit Mudgil, Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Verma, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Sharma, Adv.
Mr. R.V. Kameshwaran, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

After having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusal of the record, we find that the Post Script directions of learned Single Judge dated 13.2.2009 were passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No.4285-M of 2008 preferred by Respondent Nos.2 and 3 herein, where petitioner was arrayed as Respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted

2

that while dismissing the Petition under Section 482, Cr.P.C. filed by Respondent Nos.2 and 3 herein, the Post Script directions have been given, on the strength of which F.I.R. has been registered against the Petitioner on 19.9.2009 under Section 3 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that there was absolutely no occasion to give such direction to the Police and that too without giving an opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner.

If that be so, Petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file review before the learned Single Judge challenging only the directions contained in Post Script in the impugned order. If such a petition is filed by the Petitioner

within a period of 30 days hereof, the same shall not be dismissed on the ground of delay, but may be heard on merits and decided in accordance with law.

The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

(A.D. Sharma)
Court Master

(S.S.R. Krishna)
Court Master