

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPEAL NO.4259/2026

NOOHU SARFRAZ

APPELLANT

VERSUS

RADHAKRISHNAN DHARMARAJAN

RESPONDENT

ORDER

1. This appeal under Section 62 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 registers a challenge to the judgment and order dated 11th February, 2026 passed by the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal at Chennai¹, dismissing Company Appeal (AT) (CH) (Ins) No.660 of 2025 of the appellant.
2. We have heard Mr. Pahwa, learned senior counsel for the appellant and perused the impugned judgment and order.
3. NCLAT has taken a view that the appeal did not deserve interference because the appellant did not challenge a previous order dated 7th July, 2025 of the National Company Law Tribunal, Chennai, whereby his intervention application was disposed of without granting relief, as prayed. Having regard to the object and scheme of the Code, which aims at resolution in a time bound manner for maximization of value of assets, and also considering that the appellant was not found to have the standing to intervene, which he did not question at an appropriate stage,

¹ NCLAT

we find no reason to entertain this civil appeal.

4. The civil appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
[DIPANKAR DATTA]

.....J.
[SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA]

**NEW DELHI;
APRIL 17, 2026.**

ITEM NO.23

COURT NO.8

SECTION XVII-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No.4259/2026

NOOHU SARFRAZ

Appellant

VERSUS

RADHAKRISHNAN DHARMARAJAN

Respondent

FOR ADMISSION

I.A. No.106664/2026-EX-PARTE STAY

I.A. No.109300/2026-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/
ANNEXURES

Date : 17-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Navin Pahwa, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Komal Mundhra, AOR
Mr. Anant Merathia, Adv.
Mr. Ranghasayee, Adv.
Ms. Laxita Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Prahalad B., Adv.
Mr. Suraj K M, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The civil appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.
2. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(RASHMI DHYANI PANT)
ASST. REGISTRAR-CUM-PS

(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(signed order is placed on the file)